

James Jackson & Lucas Jackson
8702 123rd St E, Puyallup, WA 98373
253-286-8311
complexnucleus@gmail.com
Pro Se

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

UNKNOWN PARTY

Plaintiff,

vs.

Google LLC, YouTube LLC, JAMES

JACKSON, also known online as

“ONISION,” and LUCAS JACKSON,

formerly known online as “LAINEYBOT,”

“LAINEY” and “KAI,”

Defendants.

Case Number: 3:23-cv-05523-JD

**AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF NOTICE
OF OBJECTION TO VIDEO
RECORDING AND REQUEST FOR
PROTECTION FROM PUBLIC
DISTRIBUTION OF COURT
PROCEEDINGS**

Hon. James Donato

We, James Jackson and Lucas Jackson, being duly sworn, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the following statements are true and correct:

1. Identification

We are the defendants, James Jackson and Lucas Jackson, in the above-captioned case, and we are representing ourselves in this matter.

2. Purpose of Affidavit

1 This affidavit is submitted in support of our Notice of Objection to Video Recording and
2 Request for Protection from Public Distribution of Court Proceedings, as well as the attached
3 exhibits (EXHIBITS 1-21).

4
5 **3. Exhibits**

6 **a. EXHIBIT 1 & 2:** Copies of our formal objections to the Cameras in the Courtroom Pilot
7 Project in cases 3:23-cv-05127-JD and 3:23-cv-05523-JD.

8 **b. EXHIBIT 3 & 4:** Correspondence from Kevin Blumberg confirming no current request for
9 video recording in either case.

10 **c. EXHIBIT 5-21:** Evidence supporting our objections, including communications, reports, and
11 statements that substantiate our concerns regarding the misuse of legal proceedings, financial
12 exploitation by the plaintiffs, and the importance of protecting our privacy in this case.

13
14 **4. Basis for Objection**

15 The evidence overwhelmingly demonstrates that Sarah and Regina have acted with
16 calculated malice and bad faith, weaponizing the legal process as a means of public humiliation,
17 financial exploitation, and relentless defamation against James and Lucas Jackson. Sarah has
18 consistently pursued a campaign of targeted harassment, fulfilling her prior threats to ruin the
19 Jacksons' lives when her demands were unmet. This sustained abuse has devastated Lucas
20 Jackson's mental health, resulting in a diagnosis of PTSD. Both plaintiffs have exploited these
21 cases for media exposure, seizing opportunities in videos, interviews, and even international
22 television appearances to profit personally and to portray themselves as victims—all while
23 inflicting serious reputational and emotional harm on the Jacksons.
24
25

1
2 Attorney Lisa Haba has been fully aware from the beginning of the baseless nature of
3 these claims. Nevertheless, she continues to push this case forward, strategically using it to
4 enhance her law firm's visibility. Disregarding the Section 230 protections for companies like
5 Google and the clear lack of merit, she appears motivated by the prospect of extensive public
6 attention that courtroom cameras would provide, prioritizing media exposure over the integrity of
7 the judicial process.

8 From the outset, the plaintiffs have used every opportunity to solicit donations, build a
9 follower base, and garner public praise, all through false narratives that severely harm the
10 Jacksons' reputations and personal lives. This Court holds the power to shield the defendants
11 from further exploitation and abuse. By denying public access to these proceedings, the Court can
12 prevent this case from becoming yet another tool for the plaintiffs to mislead the public, damage
13 lives, and profit from falsehoods.

14 Additionally, Sarah's own statements directly contradict claims made in her court filings,
15 revealing critical inconsistencies that further validate our objection to video recording and public
16 dissemination. This case should serve the interests of justice, not those of individuals seeking
17 notoriety and financial gain at the expense of the defendants.
18

19
20 5. Request for Relief

21 In light of the information and evidence submitted, we respectfully request that the Court:

- 22 - Deny any future requests for video recording of James or Lucas Jackson.
23 - Limit public distribution of all court proceedings in these matters.
24 - Consider sealing case files if it serves the interests of justice and fairness for all parties
25

1 involved.

2

3 This affidavit is provided to support our request for privacy and to protect the integrity of the
4 judicial process from further exploitation by the plaintiffs and associated parties.

5

6 Respectfully submitted,

7

8

/s/ Lucas Jackson

Lucas Jackson (Pro se)

8702 123rd St E

Puyallup, WA 98373

Telephone: (253) 286-8311

Email: complexnucleus@gmail.com

Date: 11/4/24

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

/s/ James Jackson

James Jackson (Pro se)

8702 123rd St E

Puyallup, WA 98373

Telephone: (253) 286-8311

Email: complexnucleus@gmail.com

Date: 11/4/24